Interview Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)			
			Chen et al	l.
	Examiner Christian L. Fr	ronda	Art Unit 1652	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO  (1) Christian L. Fronda Chipara Lands  (2) Martha Cassidy  Date of Interview Jul 2, 2003  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes  Claim(s) discussed: 56 - 85  Identification of prior art discussed:	personnel): (3)(4)	epresentativ	e]	
Agreement with respect to the claims file was reached. gild was not reached. hile N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Discussed proposed amendments to the claims which may overcome the rejections of the claims the previous office Action, specifically, closed language type phrases which recite the peptide sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 5, 8, and 9.				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  ill is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required		